Elastic and anelastic relaxations associated with phase transitions in EuTiO$_3$
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Elastic and anelastic properties of single crystal samples of EuTiO$_3$ have been measured between 10 and 300 K by resonant ultrasound spectroscopy at frequencies in the vicinity of 1 MHz. Softening of the shear elastic constants $C_{44}$ and $\frac{1}{2}(C_{11} - C_{12})$ by $\sim 20\text{--}30\%$ occurs with falling temperature in a narrow interval through the transition point, $T_c = 284$ K, for the cubic-tetragonal transition. This is accounted for by classical coupling of macroscopic spontaneous strains with the tilt order parameter in the same manner as occurs in SrTiO$_3$. A peak in the acoustic loss occurs a few degrees below $T_c$ and is interpreted in terms of initially mobile ferroelastic twin walls, which rapidly become pinned with further lowering of temperature. This contrasts with the properties of twin walls in SrTiO$_3$, which remain mobile down to at least 15 K. No further anomalies were observed that might be indicative of strain coupling to any additional phase transitions above 10 K. A slight anomaly in the shear elastic constants, independent of frequency and without any associated acoustic loss, was found at $\sim 140$ K. It marks a change from elastic stiffening to softening with falling temperature and perhaps provides evidence for coupling between strain and local fluctuations of dipoles related to the incipient ferroelectric transition. An increase in acoustic loss below $\sim 80$ K is attributed to the development of dynamical magnetic clustering ahead of the known antiferromagnetic ordering transition at $\sim 5.5$ K. Detection of these elastic anomalies serves to emphasize that coupling of strain with tilting, ferroelectric, and magnetic order parameters is likely to be a permeating influence in determining the structure, stability, properties, and behavior of EuTiO$_3$.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The perovskite SrTiO$_3$ has been investigated intensively over many years with regard to its antiferrodistortive structural phase transition and quantum paraelectricity [1,2], not to mention the variety of subtle variations in physical properties of the tetragonal structure and of twin walls within it [3–12]. Substituting europium for strontium yields an isostructural system, EuTiO$_3$, which exhibits closely analogous structural behavior but with the addition of antiferromagnetism below $\sim 5.5$ K [13–29]. Furthermore, there is magnetoelectric coupling at low temperatures [14,18,30,31], the paramagnetic susceptibility changes through the structural transition point, $T_c \sim 285$ K [27], and $T_c$ itself shifts by a few degrees in an externally applied magnetic field [32]. It is thus clear that there is coupling between magnetic and structural properties, and hence that EuTiO$_3$ has the potential to combine ferro/antiferromagnetism, ferroelectricity, and ferroelasticity. As with multiferroic materials in general, a key property relating to individual instabilities and coupling between them is strain, and it is already clear that the imposition of an external strain could lead to a particularly rich phase diagram topology [33–35]. This aspect of the intrinsic behavior of EuTiO$_3$ remains controversial, however, and has not yet been fully characterized for bulk samples.

A macroscopic tetragonal strain similar to that due to the cubic-tetragonal transition in SrTiO$_3$ has been reported to occur below $\sim 235$ K [22] or below $\sim 285$ K [23]. If there is any strain coupling with the order parameter, it will inevitably give rise to relaxations of elastic properties, and an anomaly has been found in the Young’s modulus below 308 K, as measured by dynamical mechanical analysis at 1 Hz [23]. Bessas et al. [26] reported softening of the shear wave velocity below $\sim 320$ K extracted from measurements made by resonant ultrasound spectroscopy (RUS) but with a form that is different from the softening known to occur in SrTiO$_3$ and summarized in Ref. [36]. The primary objective of the present paper was to resolve these discrepancies and to address the question of strain relaxation associated with the structural transition by measuring elastic and anelastic properties in the temperature interval 10–300 K. We report 20–30% softening of single crystal elastic constants between
The single crystals of EuTiO₃ used for the present study came from the same two batches of crystals as those used by Allieta et al. [22] and Petrovic et al. [24]. They had been grown by the floating-zone method in the laboratory of Katsufuji and Tokura, as described in detail in Ref. [13]. This method involves melting a pressed rod of Eu₂O₃, Ti, and TiO₂, i.e., mixed starting materials, under an argon atmosphere inside a floating-zone furnace. A Eu²⁺ compound may be obtained despite the starting Eu³⁺ valency of Eu₂O₃, owing to a Eu to Ti charge transfer. The resulting samples are opaque (black and lustreless) at room temperature. Diffraction characteristics have been described elsewhere [22,29], and the level of impurities was established to be below 1%, consisting of Eu₂Ti₂O₇, which is nonmagnetic. A clear maximum in the heat capacity has been reported to be at 284 K [29] or 283 K [24], with an estimated experimental uncertainty of ±2 K. Here 284 K is taken to be Tc for the cubic-tetragonal structural phase transition.

For RUS, a sample is held lightly between a pair of piezoelectric transducers, one of which is driven at a constant amplitude across a range of frequencies. At particular frequencies, the sample resonates and the enhanced amplitudes of these normal modes are detected by the second transducer [39]. In the low temperature instrument at the University of Cambridge [40], the sample is held inside an “Orange” helium flow cryostat with an atmosphere of a few millibars of helium gas to allow thermal equilibration. Temperature is measured with a silicon diode and is believed to represent the sample temperature to ±0.1 K. The two crystals of EuTiO₃ used had irregular shapes, with edge dimensions between ~0.5 and ~1 mm and masses of 0.0568 gm (crystal 1) and 0.0222 gm (crystal 2). For crystal 1, spectra were collected in the frequency range 0.3–2 MHz in 30 K steps during cooling down to 10 K, followed by 5 K steps up to ~310 K. For crystal 2, spectra were collected in the frequency range 0.1–3.0 MHz in 30 K steps, all with ~15 minutes for thermal equilibration at each temperature. The heating sequence was in 5 K steps from 10 to 270 K, in 1 K steps between 270 and 290 K, followed by 5 K steps up to ~310 K, and with 10 minutes for thermal equilibration at each temperature. Each spectrum contained 50,000 data points.

Raw spectra were analyzed with the software package Igor Pro (Wavemetrics). Frequencies, f, and widths at half maximum height, Δf, were determined for selected peaks by fitting with an asymmetric Lorentzian function. f² scales with the elastic constant or combination of elastic constants that determines a given resonance mode, and the inverse mechanical quality factor, Q⁻¹ = Δf/f, is a measure of acoustic loss. The single crystals used were not regular parallelepipeds, so absolute values of the single crystal elastic constants were not determined. Interest, however, was focused on changes in elastic and anelastic properties with temperature, and, as discussed below, it proved possible to discriminate between variations of C₄₄ and ½(C₁₁ − C₁₂).

II. SAMPLE DESCRIPTION AND EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

The single crystals of EuTiO₃ used for the present study came from the same two batches of crystals as those used by Allieta et al. [22] and Petrovic et al. [24]. They had been grown by the floating-zone method in the laboratory of Katsufuji and Tokura, as described in detail in Ref. [13]. This method involves melting a pressed rod of Eu₂O₃, Ti, and TiO₂, i.e., mixed starting materials, under an argon atmosphere inside a floating-zone furnace. A Eu²⁺ compound may be obtained despite the starting Eu³⁺ valency of Eu₂O₃, owing to a Eu to Ti charge transfer. The resulting samples are opaque (black and lustreless) at room temperature. Diffraction characteristics have been described elsewhere [22,29], and the level of impurities was established to be below 1%, consisting of Eu₂Ti₂O₇, which is nonmagnetic. A clear maximum in the heat capacity has been reported to be at 284 K [29] or 283 K [24], with an estimated experimental uncertainty of ±2 K. Here 284 K is taken to be Tc for the cubic-tetragonal structural phase transition.

For RUS, a sample is held lightly between a pair of piezoelectric transducers, one of which is driven at a constant amplitude across a range of frequencies. At particular frequencies, the sample resonates and the enhanced amplitudes of these normal modes are detected by the second transducer [39]. In the low temperature instrument at the University of Cambridge [40], the sample is held inside an “Orange” helium flow cryostat with an atmosphere of a few millibars of helium gas to allow thermal equilibration. Temperature is measured with a silicon diode and is believed to represent the sample temperature to ±0.1 K. The two crystals of EuTiO₃ used had irregular shapes, with edge dimensions between ~0.5 and ~1 mm and masses of 0.0568 gm (crystal 1) and 0.0222 gm (crystal 2). For crystal 1, spectra were collected in the frequency range 0.3–2 MHz in 30 K steps during cooling down to 10 K, followed by 5 K steps up to ~310 K. For crystal 2, spectra were collected in the frequency range 0.1–3.0 MHz in 30 K steps, all with ~15 minutes for thermal equilibration at each temperature. The heating sequence was in 5 K steps from 10 to 270 K, in 1 K steps between 270 and 290 K, followed by 5 K steps up to ~310 K, and with 10 minutes for thermal equilibration at each temperature. Each spectrum contained 50,000 data points.

Raw spectra were analyzed with the software package Igor Pro (Wavemetrics). Frequencies, f, and widths at half maximum height, Δf, were determined for selected peaks by fitting with an asymmetric Lorentzian function. f² scales with the elastic constant or combination of elastic constants that determines a given resonance mode, and the inverse mechanical quality factor, Q⁻¹ = Δf/f, is a measure of acoustic loss. The single crystals used were not regular parallelepipeds, so absolute values of the single crystal elastic constants were not determined. Interest, however, was focused on changes in elastic and anelastic properties with temperature, and, as discussed below, it proved possible to discriminate between variations of C₄₄ and ½(C₁₁ − C₁₂).

III. RESULTS

Figure 1 shows a segment of selected RUS spectra collected during heating of crystal 2. Each spectrum has been shifted up the y axis (amplitude) in proportion to the temperature at which it was collected and the axis labeled as temperature. The most obvious feature is a minimum in the frequencies of most resonance peaks at ~280 K, but there is also a change in trend at ~140 K and softening below ~80 K. The high temperature structure is cubic and, if there are equal proportions of all possible tetragonal twins in the
low temperature structure, that too will be effectively cubic with respect to its gross elastic properties. The resonance frequencies will depend on three elastic constants which, in symmetry-adapted form, are \( \frac{1}{2}(C_{11} + 2C_{12}) \), \( \frac{1}{2}(C_{11} - C_{12}) \), and \( C_{44} \). Quotation marks are added to emphasize that these are effective averages for a twinned tetragonal crystal. Most resonances are determined predominantly by shearing motions, and their frequencies will depend on combinations of the two shear elastic constants \( \frac{1}{2}(C_{11} - C_{12}) \) and \( C_{44} \). Some of the modes will be determined predominantly by \( \frac{1}{2}(C_{11} - C_{12}) \) and some predominantly by \( C_{44} \), but most will depend on a mixture of the two. The contribution of \( \frac{1}{2}(C_{11} + 2C_{12}) \) will depend on whether the resonance mode involves some component of breathing motion, but experience has shown that this tends to be small in all but a few resonances. It is possible to pick out peaks that are representative of the limiting cases for the temperature evolution below \( \sim 280 \) K but which could also be followed through the transition point. Experimental uncertainties in the determination of \( f^2 \) are smaller than the size of the symbols. Some indication of the experimental uncertainty in absolute values of \( Q^{-1} \) derived from the fitting process is given by scatter in the data, particularly at the lowest temperatures where the resonance peaks were weak. By analogy with the observed variations of single crystal elastic constants through the same transition in SrTiO$_3$ [36], the resonances are tentatively ascribed to \( C_{44} \) [Fig. 2(a)] and \( \frac{1}{2}(C_{11} - C_{12}) \) [Fig. 2(b)], respectively. \( C_{44} \) for SrTiO$_3$ shows slightly increasing stiffness as \( T \to T_c \) from below, while \( \frac{1}{2}(C_{11} - C_{12}) \) softens very slightly. Also as in SrTiO$_3$, both show some softening as \( T \to T_c \) from above. \( f^2 \) data representative of \( \frac{1}{2}(C_{11} - C_{12}) \) show softening by \( \sim 25\% \) through \( T_c \) and have a sharp minimum at \( \sim 280 \) K. The equivalent softening for \( C_{44} \) is \( \sim 30\% \). This is accompanied by a steep increase.
in $Q^{-1}$ in both cases, rising to a maximum value of $\sim0.011$ at $\sim279$ K for $\frac{1}{2}(C_{11} - C_{12})$. $Q^{-1}$ then tails down to values near 0.001, which are the same as for $T > T_c$, by $\sim220$ K.

Variations of $f^2$ below 280 K are much smaller than those that accompany the phase transition. The change in slope at $\sim140$ K evident in the raw spectra (Fig. 1) is not accompanied by any overt change in $Q^{-1}$. On the other hand, the softening below $\sim80$ K is accompanied by increasing acoustic loss with falling temperature.

**IV. DISCUSSION**

The typical elastic softening associated with structural phase transitions occurs as a consequence of classical strain/order parameter coupling and is well understood. Most or all of the elastic constants of the low symmetry phase are softer than those of the high symmetry phase, and their evolution with temperature depends on the strength of coupling, the evolution of the order parameter, and the evolution of the order parameter susceptibility. Expressions for these are given for the symmetry change $Pm\bar{3}m \leftrightarrow 14/mcm$. in Ref.[36]. The difference between $\frac{1}{2}(C_{11} - C_{12})$ and “$C_{44}$” arises essentially because the symmetry-breaking tetragonal shear strain is $e_1(\approx (2/\sqrt{3})(c - a)/(a^2c)^{1/3}$ where $a$ and $c$ are lattice parameters of the tetragonal structure, while the other possible shear strain, $e_4(\approx \cos \alpha$, where $\alpha$ is the rhombohedral lattice angle defined with respect to a pseudocubic reference cell) remains strictly zero. For a classical improper ferroelastic transition that is second order in character, the softening is expected to be a step at $T = T_c$ by an amount that is independent of temperature. The slightly upward curve of “$C_{44}$” as $T \to T_c$ from below arises as a consequence of the contribution from sixth-order terms in the Landau free energy and becomes steeper as the character of the transition changes from second order toward tricritical. Nonlinear softening of $\frac{1}{2}(C_{11} - C_{12})$ as $T \to T_c$ from below also arises from the contribution of sixth-order terms. The overall pattern in Fig. 2 is thus consistent with a second-order transition that could be well represented by a Landau 246 potential, as for SrTiO$_3$. Superimposed on the classical picture is softening as $T \to T_c$ from above due to fluctuations. This is again typical of octahedral tilting transitions in perovskites, such as SrTiO$_3$ [36], SrZrO$_3$ [41], LaAlO$_3$ [42], and KMnF$_3$ [43], for example. The elastic constants do not by themselves give a precise transition temperature, but there are no gross features in the resonance frequencies that would indicate phase transitions at any temperature other than that indicated by the heat capacity anomaly as occurring at $T_c = 284$ K.

The total softening of $\frac{1}{2}(C_{11} - C_{12})$ in EuTiO$_3$, representing an average of combinations of $C_{11}$, $C_{33}$, $C_{12}$, and $C_{13}$, is $\sim25\%$, and the total softening of “$C_{44}$” (average of $C_{44}$, $C_{66}$) is $\sim30\%$. Both are larger than observed for SrTiO$_3$, which, based on the data in Ref. [36], would be $\sim10-15\%$ and $\sim15-20\%$, respectively. The amount of softening of $\frac{1}{2}(C_{11} - C_{12})$ due to coupling of $e_1$ with the driving order parameter is expected to scale with $\lambda^2/b_1$ for a second-order phase transition, where $\lambda$ is the coupling coefficient and $b_1$ the (unrenormalized) fourth-order Landau coefficient. Some semiquantitative comparison of these parameters can be made to show whether there are gross differences in thermodynamic properties for the two materials. $e_1$ is expected to scale with the octahedral tilt angle, $\varphi$, according to $e_1 \propto \lambda \varphi^2/(C_{11} - C_{12})$, where $(C_{11} - C_{12})$ is a shear elastic constant of the parent cubic structure. Taking values of the lattice parameters, $a = 3.898$ and $c = 3.906$ Å at 170 K ($T/T_c = 0.6$) from fig. 1 of Goian et al. [23], gives $e_1 \approx 0.0024$. From the same figure, the tilt angle is $\sim3.6\%$, giving $e_1/\varphi^2 \approx 0.00019$. In the case of SrTiO$_3$, strains from Ref. [36] and tilt angles from Ref. [44] give $e_1/\varphi^2 \approx 0.00021$ at $T/T_c = 0.6$ ($e_i \approx 0.0006$, $\varphi \sim 1.7\%$). It appears, therefore, that the relationship between shear strain and tilt angle is about the same. However, absolute values of $e_i$ are a factor of $\sim4$ greater for EuTiO$_3$ than for SrTiO$_3$, and magnitudes of the coupling coefficient depend on the value of the strain with respect to the order parameter, $q$, which would be the same for a given value of $T/T_c$. Thus, $\lambda$ for coupling between $q$ and $e_i$ will be a factor of $\sim4$ greater for EuTiO$_3$ than for SrTiO$_3$, and $\lambda^2$ a factor of $\sim16$ greater. Estimates of other thermodynamic parameters can be obtained from heat capacity data. The excess heat capacity, $\Delta C_p$, at $T = T_c$ is 1.5 $\pm$ 0.35 J mole$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$ in Fig. 2 of Petrovic et al. [24], which gives a value for the Landau $a$ coefficient, $a_L$, of 3.0 $\pm$ 0.7 J mole$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$, assuming second-order character for the transition ($\Delta C_p = \alpha T^2 T_c$). This compares with $0.65$ J mole$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$ determined for SrTiO$_3$ by Hayward and Salje [45]. Assuming second-order character again would give $b_i^* = a/T_c = 852 \pm 199$ J mole$^{-1}$ for EuTiO$_3$, where $b^*$ is the fourth-order Landau coefficient, including renormalization by coupling with strains. On the same basis, $b_i^*$ for SrTiO$_3$ would be $\sim69$ J mole$^{-1}$, which is a factor of $\sim12$ $\pm$ 3 smaller than for EuTiO$_3$. Ignoring the difference between $b_i^*$ and $b_i$, due to strain renormalization, softening of $\frac{1}{2}(C_{11} - C_{12})$ scaled as $\lambda^2/b_1$ would be a factor $\sim16/(12 \pm 3)$, i.e., $1.1-1.8$ greater for EuTiO$_3$ than for SrTiO$_3$. This is sufficiently close to the observed factor of $\sim2$ for it to be at least concluded that strain and elastic relaxations at the $Pm\bar{3}m \leftrightarrow 14/mcm$ transition are probably rather similar for SrTiO$_3$ and EuTiO$_3$.

Accompanying the acoustic loss observed immediately below $T_c$ must be some anelastic softening, slightly enhancing the steepness of the dip in $\frac{1}{2}(C_{11} - C_{12})$ according to standard Debye relations, in comparison with what would occur as a consequence of the strain/order parameter coupling alone. It is most likely due to the mobility under stress of ferroelastic twin walls but, in this regard, the pattern of behavior appears to be very different from that of SrTiO$_3$. A remarkable feature of tetragonal SrTiO$_3$ is high mobility of twin walls down to at least $\sim 15$ K at both Hz [7-9] and MHz [12,46] frequencies. By way of contrast, the peak in $Q^{-1}$ immediately below $T_c$ in EuTiO$_3$ (Fig. 2) occurs in a narrow temperature interval, implying that the twin walls quickly become immobile with falling temperature. A better analogy is probably provided by the $Pm\bar{3}m \leftrightarrow R\bar{3}c$ octahedral tilting transition in LaAlO$_3$ at 817 K [47-49]. When measured at frequencies of $\sim1-100$ Hz by dynamical mechanical analysis, there is a temperature interval of $\sim 250$ K below $T_c$ in which there is a plateau in the loss due to twin wall motion modified by an effective viscous drag. The freezing interval where the walls become pinned by the defects is then marked by a Debye loss peak near 450 K. Under the higher frequency ($\sim1$ MHz) and relatively low stress conditions of a RUS experiment, acoustic losses are sufficiently high that details of the plateau region are not seen because resonance peaks
are totally attenuated (superattenuation). The pinning process is still complete by ~400 K, however [42]. If the twin walls in EuTiO$_3$ are subject to pinning by oxygen vacancies, as in LaAlO$_3$, it is likely that their freezing interval will also be in the vicinity of ~450 K, i.e., well above $T_c$. In this case the observed variations in $Q^{-1}$ most likely reflect changes in the number density, $N$, and thickness, $w$, of ferroelastic twin walls, which are expected to increase according to $w \propto N \propto (T_c - T)^{-1}$ as $T \to T_c$ [50–53]. Interaction of the twin walls with the underlying lattice and point defects is known to be stronger for thin walls than thick walls [54,55]. As a consequence, the low density of thick walls expected to appear immediately below $T_c$ at a second-order transition would be expected to cause only limited attenuation. As their number goes up and their thickness goes down, there should be a steep increase in acoustic loss until they become sufficiently thin that they become pinned. With further falling temperature, the acoustic loss will therefore fall off steeply. In detail, the actual loss mechanism at RUS frequencies is most likely due to lateral motion of ledges within the walls [43,56,57].

With further lowering of temperature, there are additional anomalies in the data for $f^2$ and $Q^{-1}$ which, although small in comparison with the softening at $T_c$, must be indicative of additional relaxational effects. From diffraction evidence, Ellis et al. [17] detected the development of obvious twinning below ~250 K in a single crystal in which the intensity of an $R$-point reflection went to zero at $T_c = 287 \pm 1$ K. $Q^{-1}$ reduces to baseline levels in the vicinity of ~220 K (Fig. 2), but there are no obvious breaks in trend that would signify abrupt changes in the configuration or properties of the twin walls. It is likely that they become more readily distinguishable by x-ray diffraction when they also become thinner and less mobile. 235 K is also the temperature at which the diffraction data in Allieta et al. [22] from a powdered sample show the tetragonal strain going to zero, but there is no evidence for this in the temperature dependence of $f^2$ from a single crystal.

There is a clear break in slope of resonance frequencies at ~140 K. This is most obvious in the raw spectra (Fig. 1) and marks a change in trend from stiffening to softening with falling temperature. An anelastic origin can be ruled out because the anomaly in $f^2$ occurs at the same temperature across the entire frequency range in which resonance peaks were observed, ~0.8–2.6 MHz, and is not accompanied by any obvious changes in $Q^{-1}$. The only reported structural change is a crossover from a structural state with both $R$-point and incommensurate superstructure reflections in single crystal x-ray diffraction patterns, below ~160 K, to one with incommensurate reflections only, above ~160 K [25], but the single crystal used in the present study has not been characterized in the same way. The other possibility relates to the incipient ferroelectric transition. The soft mode for this reduces in frequency with falling temperature from at least 600 K and would give an extrapolated transition point near ~200 K [18,19]. However, from model fits to the data, deviations from classical behavior of the soft mode frequency attributed to the onset of quantum fluctuations start to occur at ~113 K [18] or ~155 K [19]. Katsufuji and Takagi [14] obtained 162 K from similar fitting of the temperature dependence of the dielectric constant. Such fluctuations would need to couple with the acoustic modes to give the observed softening. Spalek [29] observed a loss peak in dielectric spectroscopy data at ~85, ~105, and ~135 K when measured at 1, 10, and 100 kHz, respectively, which may or may not be related. Elastic softening and acoustic loss suggestive of an additional instability is also seen in LaAlO$_3$ at low temperatures [58].

The final anomaly identified by RUS occurs below ~70–80 K and is a slight but definite increase in $Q^{-1}$ accompanied by softening (Fig. 2). By definition this indicates some aspect of the structure or defects coupled to strain, which move on a timescale of ~10$^{-6}$ s under the influence of an externally applied stress. The onset of increasing $Q^{-1}$ values perhaps correlates with a break in slope of $\chi^2$ near 85 K, where $\chi$ is the magnetic susceptibility, reported by Caslin et al. [27] to be due to the onset of dynamically correlated ferromagnetic clusters ahead of the antiferromagnetic ordering transition. Acoustic loss due to such clustering would be indicative of magnetoelastic coupling in EuTiO$_3$, with wider implications for coupling between (anti)ferromagnetic, ferroelectric, and ferroelastic properties.

V. CONCLUSIONS

Softening of single crystal elastic constants of EuTiO$_3$, as measured near ~1 MHz, is consistent with a classical octahedral tilting transition at $T_c \approx 284$ K, which is closely analogous to the cubic-tetragonal transition of SrTiO$_3$ at ~106 K. The amount of softening is somewhat larger, but the form is qualitatively the same and can be accounted for by coupling of macroscopic spontaneous strains with the $R$-point order parameter. There are no changes between ~284 and ~10 K that would be indicative of further phase transitions with strain/order parameter coupling. The pattern of acoustic loss is quite different from that of SrTiO$_3$, indicating that ferroelastic twin walls in the tetragonal phase remain mobile only in a narrow temperature interval below $T_c$. There is evidence of further strain relaxation in the form of elastic softening below ~140 K and an increase in acoustic loss below ~80 K. The mechanism for these is not known but might be related to some influence of the incipient ferroelectric phase transition and the development of magnetically ordered clusters, respectively. If each of the tilting, magnetic, and dielectric properties of EuTiO$_3$ couple with strain, whether on a long range or purely local scale, it is inevitable that they will also be coupled with each other and, hence, that they can all be tuned by the imposition of external electric, magnetic, and strain fields.
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