

# Time-Lapse Seismic Imaging of Oceanic Fronts and Transient Lenses within South Atlantic Ocean

Kathryn L. Gunn<sup>1,2</sup>, Nicky White,<sup>1</sup> & Colm-cille P. Caulfield<sup>3,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Bullard Laboratories, Department of Earth Sciences, University of Cambridge, Madingley Rise,  
Madingley Road, Cambridge, CB3 0EZ, UK

<sup>2</sup>Rosenstiel School of Marine and Atmospheric Science, University of Miami, 4600 Rickenbacker  
Causeway, Miami, FL 33149, USA

<sup>3</sup>BP Institute, University of Cambridge, Madingley Rise, Madingley Road, Cambridge CB3 0EZ, UK

<sup>4</sup>Department of Applied Mathematics and Theoretical Physics, University of Cambridge, Wilberforce  
Road, Cambridge, CB3 0WA, UK

## Key Points:

- Time-lapse seismic imaging of deep oceanic front with spatial resolution of 5–10 m is presented.
- Growth and decay of mesoscale tilted lens is visible at depth of 0.5–1 km over 9 days.
- Rapid horizontal advection of thermohaline structures toward tilted lens is tracked.

**Abstract**

Oceanic fronts play a pivotal role in controlling water mass transfer, although little is known about deep frontal structure on appropriate temporal and spatial scales. Here, we present a sequence of calibrated time-lapse images from a three-dimensional seismic survey that straddles the Brazil-Malvinas Confluence— a significant feature of the meridional overturning circulation. Eight vertical transects reveal the evolution of a major front. It is manifest as a discrete planar surface that dips at less than  $2^\circ$  and is traceable to 1.5–2 km depth. Its shape and surface expression are consistent with sloping isopycnal surfaces of the calculated potential density field and with coeval sea surface temperature measurements, respectively. Within the top  $\sim 1$  km, where cold fresh water subducts beneath warm salty water, a series of tilted lenses are banked up against the sharply defined front. The largest of these structures is centered at 700 m depth and is cored by cold fresh water. Time-lapse imagery demonstrates that this tilted lens grows and decays over nine days. It has a maximum diameter of  $< 34 \pm 0.13$  km and a maximum height of  $< 750 \pm 10$  m. Beneath 1 km, where horizontal density gradients are negligible, numerous deforming lenses and filaments on length scales of 10–100 km are being swept toward the advecting front.

**Plain Language Summary**

Oceanic fronts (i.e. regions of rapid lateral changes in temperature and/or salinity) are key sites of water mass modification, primary productivity and ocean-atmosphere exchange. However, fronts occupy a large range of scales (i.e. meters to kilometers and days to years) and present a significant observational challenge. Typically, measurements are restricted to small high-resolution surveys or to large surveys that have kilometer-scale gaps between sampling locations. We employ an acoustic imaging technique, which records energy reflected from temperature changes within the water column, to overcome these observational limitations. Vertical cross sections through the ocean are constructed over tens of kilometers that map temperature distribution on 10 m-length scales. Critically, this analysis yields frontal observations that span a large range of spatial scales (i.e. 0.1–150 km) over a period of one week. This work presents novel time-lapse observations of frontal structure and behavior. Acoustic images reveal frontal dynamics that are occurring on larger, deeper and faster scales than previously observed. Our analysis overcomes observational restrictions, revealing new frontal structure and behavior that have significant implications for future studies and ocean dynamics at fronts.

## 1 Introduction

Lateral and vertical gradients of physical properties at major oceanic fronts play a fundamental role in controlling the behavior of the global meridional overturning circulation (Cromwell & Reid, 1956). Convergence at these fronts gives rise to rapid,  $O(100)$  m day<sup>-1</sup>, vertical fluxes that provide pathways for transfer at the ocean-atmosphere boundary, within the surface mixed layer, and at abyssal depths (Pezzi et al., 2005; Spall, Michael, 1995; L. N. Thomas, Tandon, & Mahadevan, 2008). As a result, enhanced vertical fluxes transport heat, salt and nutrient-rich water into the euphotic zone that influence biologic productivity (Taylor & Ferrari, 2011; Tilstone, Miller, Brewin, & Priede, 2014). Oceanic fronts are associated with enhanced levels of turbulence and of energy dissipation (D’Asaro, Lee, Rainville, Harcourt, & Thomas, 2011; Johnston, Rudnick, & Pallàs-Sanz, 2011; Nagai, Tandon, Yamazaki, Doubell, & Gallager, 2012).

At fronts, gradients of physical properties are often observed within zones that can be hundreds of meters to tens of kilometers wide, persisting on timescales of days to years. Underway shipboard measurements have yielded high resolution vertical profiles that are spaced at horizontal intervals of  $O(10)$  km (e.g. Bianchi, Giulivi, & Piola, 1993). In recent years, a range of towed and autonomous Lagrangian instruments has enabled dense sampling of fronts (e.g. D’Asaro et al., 2011). This sampling usually extends over tens of square kilometers and depths of up to 400 m. Underwater gliders have significantly improved observations at fronts, providing dense measurements at meter-scale vertical and  $O(1)$  km horizontal resolution (see review of Testor et al., 2019). Satellite measurements provide continuous surface observations that reveal the spatial and temporal evolution of fronts (e.g. Saraceno, Provost, Piola, Bava, & Gagliardini, 2004). Notwithstanding these developments, *in situ* volumetric studies of fronts continue to represent a significant challenge (Pallàs-Sanz, Johnston, & Rudnick, 2010). Computational constraints mean that the high resolution grids required to characterize fronts are yet to be achieved, which means that fronts are usually omitted from quantitative models (Ferrari, 2011). In summary, observational and modeling challenges have tended to hamper our understanding of frontal dynamics and its role in oceanic and atmospheric circulation.

Seismic (i.e. acoustic) reflection surveying exploits low (e.g. 5–100 Hz) frequency sources and multiple towed cables with dense arrays of hydrophone receivers that enables oceanic fine structure to be imaged (Holbrook, Páramo, Pearse, & Schmitt, 2003; Rudnick, Song, Dong, & Pinheiro, 2009). Sound waves are transmitted through, and reflected from, temperature and, to a much lesser extent salinity, fluctuations on length scales that vary from tens of meters to tens of kilometers. Since acoustic reflections are principally generated by changes of temperature gradient that are typically  $O(0.01)$  °C, the resultant seismic cross-sections can be used to delineate and map ocean structure and water masses with contrasting thermohaline properties (Sallarès et al., 2009; Sheen, White, Caulfield, & Hobbs, 2012). A typical cross-section is >100 km long and >2 km deep. It can be acquired in a matter of hours and, critically, has approximately equal vertical and horizontal resolutions of  $O(10)$  m.

Seismic surveying is a suitable tool for bridging the observational gap between fine scale (i.e. 0.1–10 km) and large scale (i.e. 10–1000 km) structures. Significantly, the resultant stacked images can be inverted to obtain distributions of temperature and salinity (Dagnino, Sallarès, Biescas, & Ranero, 2016; Gunn, White, Larter, & Caulfield, 2018). In this way, physical properties of the water column at the time of imaging can be retrieved from legacy seismic reflection surveys, for which only limited coeval hydrographic measurements may exist. Here, we present time-lapse imagery extracted from a three-dimensional (3D) seismic reflection survey that was acquired across the Brazil-Malvinas confluence of the southwest Atlantic Ocean (Figs. 1 and 2). Our principal aim is to show how what is effectively volumetric imagery has the potential to identify and to analyze transient frontal structures at an oceanographically significant confluence on an unprecedented range of scales and depths. Note that coincident and dense hydrographic mea-

102 surements, which would help to constrain the detailed fluid dynamical nature of these  
 103 structures, were unavailable. Nevertheless, our observations have helped to identify fea-  
 104 tures that have not previously been imaged in other ways. The quantitative nature of  
 105 this imagery, together with corresponding distributions of physical properties, permit po-  
 106 tential dynamical mechanisms to be identified. We hope that our results will motivate  
 107 combined acquisition programs of hydrographic and seismic reflection surveys in jointly  
 108 designed experiments.

## 109 2 Seismic Acquisition and Processing

110 Vertical images are extracted from a 3D survey, which was acquired between Novem-  
 111 ber 2012 and April 2013 by Polarcus Limited OSE using Seismic Research Vessel *Amani*.  
 112 This survey is owned by Administración Nacional de Combustibles, Alcoholes y Portland  
 113 (ANCAP) and by Royal Dutch Shell. The acoustic source comprises a pair of airgun ar-  
 114 rays, each of which has 36 guns with a combined volume of 70 L (i.e. 4240 in<sup>3</sup>). These  
 115 airguns are primed with an air pressure of 14 MPa (i.e. 2000 psi) and simultaneously fired  
 116 every 10 s (i.e. every  $\sim 25$  m along the ground). The combined band width of the acous-  
 117 tic source is 5–100 Hz. Reflected waves are recorded along ten streamers (i.e. acousti-  
 118 cally sensitive cables), each of which is 6 km long, separated by 125 m, and towed at a  
 119 depth of 9 m. Each streamer has 480 groups of hydrophones located at intervals of 12.5 m.  
 120 The record sampling interval is 2 ms. Each pass of the vessel acquired a swath of data  
 121 that is  $\sim 600$  m wide and  $\sim 140$ – $150$  km long. The seismic images presented here are ex-  
 122 tracted from the center of each swath. The vessel steamed with an average azimuth of  
 123  $41^\circ$  in what is known as a racetrack pattern at a speed of  $2.5 \text{ m s}^{-1}$  (Fig. 2c).

124 The geometry of sources and receivers means that each position along a given tra-  
 125 verse is sampled 120 times. This redundancy enables the signal to noise ratio to be in-  
 126 creased by stacking seismic reflections from different shotpoint-receiver pairs that sam-  
 127 ple an identical position or common mid-point (CMP) along each traverse. Optimal stack-  
 128 ing relies on careful estimates of root mean squared acoustic sound speed,  $v_{rms}$ , as a func-  
 129 tion of depth in order to correct for the travel-time delay for different raypaths within  
 130 a single CMP gather. Individual functions of  $v_{rms}$  are manually picked every 1.25 km.  
 131 Other signal processing techniques include application of a 20–90 Hz band-pass filter with  
 132 a roll-off of 24 dB per octave, muting of the bright seabed reflection, and removal of high  
 133 amplitude acoustic energy that travels horizontally along the length of each streamer.  
 134 Finally, seismic images were converted to depth using an average sound speed of  $1530 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ .  
 135 Spatial migration of these images is usually not required since the water column is char-  
 136 acterized by slow and gradually varying sound speed.

137 Processed images represent vertical full-depth cross-sections or slices through the  
 138 oceanic volume. The vertical resolution of each image is given by  $v/4f$  where  $v$  and  $f$   
 139 are the sound speed of the water column and the dominant frequency of the acoustic source,  
 140 respectively. In this region,  $v = 1510 \pm 30 \text{ m s}^{-1}$  throughout the water column and  
 141  $f = 35 \pm 5 \text{ Hz}$ , which means that the vertical resolution is between 10 and 20 m. On  
 142 seismic images that have been spatially migrated (or that do not require migration), hor-  
 143 izontal resolution is equal to vertical resolution (i.e. it is not given by the radius of the  
 144 first Fresnel zone; Yilmaz, 2001). Observed reflectivity is generated by changes in acous-  
 145 tic impedance, which is defined as the product of sound speed and density. Within the  
 146 water column, acoustic impedance is predominantly controlled by sound speed variation,  
 147 which depends upon temperature and, to a much lesser extent, salinity gradients. Thus  
 148 the reflectivity field contains useful information about temperature and salinity that is  
 149 recoverable from detailed measurements of sound speed,  $v$ .

### 3 Deep Structure of Oceanic Front

#### 3.1 Reflectivity Patterns

Confluent flow of warm salty Brazil Current (BC) and cold fresh Malvinas (i.e. Falkland) Current (MC) concentrates large-scale temperature and salinity gradients over a substantial region (Fig. 1a; Gordon, 1989; Peterson & Stramma, 1991). At depths of up to several hundred meters, these sub-tropical and sub-Antarctic water masses have sharply contrasting properties. Although these water masses are distinct, the opposing effects of temperature and salinity gradients can act to produce density compensation (Fig. 1b). Eight seismic transects, acquired across the northern portion of this confluence zone between 8<sup>th</sup> and 17<sup>th</sup> February 2013, are presented, interpreted and analyzed (Figs. S1 and S2; Table 1). These transects provide time-lapse images of spatial and temporal variability of the Brazil-Malvinas Confluence, which have common features that can be described using one representative example (Fig. 3).

A continuous and bright reflection that dips northward at  $< 2^\circ$  can be traced down to a depth of  $1.7 \pm 0.05$  km (Fig. 3b). At  $\sim 300$  m depth, this dipping reflection splits into four discrete bright strands that wrap around acoustically transparent patches and define a series of tilted lenses, which outcrop at a range of 50–60 km and coincide with a marked change in sea-surface temperature visible on satellite imagery (Figs. 4 and 5). Similar splitting into discrete strands is observed beneath  $\sim 500$  m at ranges of 85–100 km. This multi-stranded reflection represents the acoustic expression of a discrete front that is traceable from the sea surface to abyssal depths on all eight transects (Supplementary Material). Northeast of the surface outcrop of the front, the seismic image is characterized by smooth, flat and horizontally discontinuous reflections. They constitute a thick, deep wedge of BC water that is banked against the front.

Southwest of the front, MC water is characterized by a complex swirling pattern of reflectivity that is visible down to the seabed. At ranges of 60–100 km, concentric reflections wrap around and define a prominent but acoustically transparent lens that has a diameter of 21 km and a thickness of 400 m (labeled ‘E’ on Fig. 3b). The center of this tilted lens sits at a depth of 750 m and its upper surface abuts the front, which it appears to have deformed. A much smaller tilted lens is juxtaposed against its northeastern edge at a range of 92 km. A similar pair of lenses is visible on other transects, although their sizes vary from transect to transect. For example, the diameter of the bigger lens varies between 11 and 34 km, and its height varies between 250 and 750 m. Its inverse aspect ratio (i.e. height/width) is  $\sim 0.02$ , which is consistent with  $f/N$  scaling, where  $N = 3 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$  and  $f = 9 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$  are the local buoyancy frequency and the Coriolis parameter, respectively (Table 3). Note that a spatially averaged value of  $N$  is estimated from the distributions of temperature and salinity shown in Fig. 8. The perimeters of these lenses are characterized by sinusoidally shaped reflections that are interpreted as, and have the spectral characteristics of, internal waves (e.g. Sheen, White, & Hobbs, 2009). Beneath  $\sim 500$  m depth, MC water is characterized by numerous acoustically transparent and irregularly shaped lenses with diameters of 1–10 km (Fig. 3b). At depths of 800 and 1500 m, several elongated filament-like reflections can be traced horizontally from the southwestern edge of the profile toward the base of the biggest tilted lens.

#### 3.2 Physical Properties

Distributions of temperature and salinity along this transect are calculated using an adapted iterative procedure (Gunn et al., 2018; Papenberg, Klaeschen, Krahnmann, & Hobbs, 2010). Typical acoustic inverse approaches cannot easily be exploited since closely spaced coincident hydrographic observations of temperature and salinity are required to provide a long wavelength background profile on length scales that are greater than 150 m. To side-step this limitation, we construct the long-wavelength sound speed pattern by

analyzing pre-stack seismic records (Fig. 6). This pragmatic approach obviates the need for coincident and densely sampled hydrographic measurements and so it can be applied to legacy archives of uncalibrated seismic surveys. The long wavelength variation of sound speed is calculated from a suite of individual functions of  $v_{rms}$  that are located every 1.25 km along the transect (Figs. 6 and 7a). Vertical and horizontal moving averages are used to smooth the spatial variation of  $v_{rms}$ , which is then converted into interval sound speed,  $v_{int}$ , using the standard relationship (Fig. 7b; Dix, 1955). The short wavelength variation of sound speed is separately extracted from the reflectivity field by exploiting

$$R = \left( \frac{v_2 \rho_2 - v_1 \rho_1}{v_2 \rho_2 + v_1 \rho_1} \right), \quad (1)$$

where  $R$  is the reflection coefficient,  $v_1$  and  $\rho_1$  are the sound speed and density above a given reflective interface, and  $v_2$  and  $\rho_2$  are the sound speed and density beneath this interface (Yilmaz, 2001). The value of  $R$  is principally controlled by changes in  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  and it is reasonable to assume that density varies as a function of depth in accordance with regional hydrographic measurements. The reconstructed long and short wavelength sound speed fields are merged and converted into temperature and salinity using a local temperature-salinity relationship and the equation of state for seawater (for more details see Gunn et al., 2018; Papenberg et al., 2010).

Our results demonstrate that the northeastern end of the transect is characterized by a layer of warm salty water ( $> 10^\circ\text{C}$  and  $> 35$  psu; Fig. 8a,c). This layer thickens northeastward, coinciding with the wedge of reflectivity that abuts the dipping front. Along the southwestern edge of the front, the water mass is cooler (i.e.  $\leq 10^\circ\text{C}$ ) and fresher (i.e.  $\leq 35$  psu). This dramatic change of physical properties is consistent with measurements from near-coeval hydrographic casts as well as with satellite observations which supports our interpretation that the northeastward band of dipping reflections represents a deeply penetrating front that separates distinct BC and MC water masses (Fig. 8b,d). We note that water on the southwestern side of the front is not quite as cold and fresh as hydrographic measurements of MC indicate. Instead, it represents an intermediate water mass generated by mixing of sub-tropical and sub-Antarctic waters in the vicinity of the frontal zone (i.e. modified MC; Fig. 1). Temperature and salinity values of  $< 5^\circ\text{C}$  and  $> 34.4$  psu at depths  $\geq 1000$  m are diagnostic of AAIW, CDW and NADW waters (Fig. 1b). The large tilted lens consists of a patch of cool ( $3.3 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ ) and fresh ( $34.3 \pm 0.5$  psu) water, implying that it is sourced from the southwestern side of the front (i.e. modified MC water; Figs. 8b,d).

Without dense and coeval hydrographic observations, it is challenging to use the adapted iterative procedure to resolve shorter ( $\leq 100$  m) wavelength variations of temperature and salinity (Gunn et al., 2018). Nevertheless, we can directly compare our horizontally averaged profiles of temperature and salinity with near-coeval hydrographic measurements (Table 2). Although average values can be offset by up to  $1^\circ\text{C}$  and 0.5 psu, the adapted iterative procedure yields results that successfully reproduce the long-wavelength patterns on either side of the front (Fig. 8b and d). It is likely that these offsets are the consequence of temporal differences of up to four months between acquisition of hydrographic and seismic surveys (Figs. 4 and 5; Table 2). Thermoclinic and haloclinic thicknesses are coherent with measured BC and MC values, which are also consistent with weakening of reflectivity at depths of  $\sim 600$  and  $\sim 1000$  m on each side of the front (Piola & Matano, 2017). We use these calculated distributions of temperature and salinity to constrain potential density,  $\rho_\theta$ , and geostrophic current,  $u$  (i.e. Fig. 8e and g). Our values are broadly consistent with estimates based upon near-coeval hydrographic measurements (Fig. 8f and h).

Calculated isopycnal surfaces are consistent with a gently sloping (i.e.  $3.5 \times 10^{-2}$ ) front (Fig. 8e). The geostrophic stream function,  $\psi$ , which can show a better alignment with dipping seismic reflections, has also been determined (e.g. Meunier, Ménésguen, Schopp, & Le Gentil, 2015). Fig. 8g indicates that  $\psi$  surfaces slope gently toward the northeast



252 within the upper 700 m of the water column. We conclude that both  $\rho_\theta$  and  $\psi$  fields are  
 253 consistent with the geometry of reflectivity on length scales  $> 10$  km. Below  $\sim 700$  m,  
 254 contours of  $\rho_\theta$  have negligible slope, which is consistent with the known density compen-  
 255 sation of BC and MC at these depths (Fig. 1b; Piola & Matano, 2017). Horizontal densi-  
 256 ty gradients intensify close to the surface and create geostrophic shear along the frontal  
 257 axis, which in turn produces a jet that is orientated along this axis. On Fig. 8e, isopy-  
 258 cnal surfaces slope toward the northeast, which implies flow to the southeast. This qual-  
 259 itative inference is corroborated by our estimate of  $u$  which is consistent with a south-  
 260 eastward directed jet with a velocity of  $\sim 0.6$  m s $^{-1}$  that is focused within the upper  
 261 500 m at a range of 50–60 km where a significant horizontal gradient occurs (Fig. 8g).  
 262 Significantly, this location coincides with four discrete reflective strands that delineate  
 263 small tilted lenses within the front.

264 Relative vorticity close to the front,  $\zeta$ , can be inferred from  $u$ , where  $\zeta = \partial v/\partial x -$   
 265  $\partial u/\partial y$ . Along this segment of the Brazil-Malvinas Confluence, it is reasonable to assume  
 266 that the value of  $\zeta$  is dominated by contributions from the cross-front gradient, which  
 267 means that the component in the  $y$ -direction can be neglected (e.g. Pollard & Regier,  
 268 1992). The calculated pattern of  $\zeta$  shows that large-scale vorticity occurs at the front.  
 269 At depths shallower than 1 km, positive values of  $\zeta$  indicate the cyclonic side that is lo-  
 270 cated southwest of the front (Fig. 8g). The large tilted lens has no resolvable vorticity.

## 271 4 Temporal Evolution of Frontal Structures

### 272 4.1 The Front

273 The front itself is imaged across the volume of the seismic survey and it is clearly  
 274 visible on the eight representative transects presented in Supplementary Materials. Dur-  
 275 ing survey acquisition, the vessel travels in a clockwise direction, criss-crossing the front  
 276 in a series of shifting loops each of which incrementally translates by  $\sim 1$  km toward  
 277 the northeast. This so-called racetrack mode is adopted for operational reasons and, as  
 278 a consequence, adjacent sail lines are acquired by a combination of broad turning and  
 279 interleaving at different times (Fig. 2b,c; Yilmaz, 2001). Thus transects 1–4 are acquired  
 280 in the same compass direction such that they are co-located in space but not in time.  
 281 Transects 5–8 are similarly arranged (see Table 1 for further details of acquisition). Since  
 282 the front is oriented at a high angle to the sail direction, it is straightforward to deter-  
 283 mine frontal migration (Fig. 4). During February 2013, the front advected southwest-  
 284 ward at a rate of  $15 \pm 1$  km day $^{-1}$ . Coeval satellite measurements of sea-surface tem-  
 285 perature corroborate this value. For example, southwestward translation of the 24 °C  
 286 sea-surface temperature contour yields an independent estimate of  $14 \pm 1$  km day $^{-1}$  in  
 287 agreement with other satellite observations, which indicate oscillation of the Brazil-Malvinas  
 288 Confluence at this time of year (Garzoli & Garraffo, 1989; Saraceno et al., 2004).

### 289 4.2 A Large Tilted Lens

290 Time-lapse imagery shows that the prominent and acoustically blank patch of water  
 291 shown in Fig. 3 appears, grows to a maximum cross-sectional area of  $\sim 20$  km $^2$ , and  
 292 disappears over a 9 day period between 8<sup>th</sup> and 17<sup>th</sup> February 2013 (Figs. S1 and S2;  
 293 Table 3). The essential aspects of this transient behavior are summarized in Fig. 9. On  
 294 8<sup>th</sup> February, no lens is visible adjacent to the front (Fig. 9a). On 11<sup>th</sup> February, a small  
 295 lens with a cross-sectional area of  $\sim 3$  km $^2$  is visible at a range of 100 km and at a depth  
 296 of 800 m (Fig. 9b). This lens continues to grow and reaches a maximum cross-sectional  
 297 area of  $\sim 14$  km $^2$  by 14<sup>th</sup> February (Fig. 9d). It rapidly shrinks on subsequent images  
 298 and it has almost completely disappeared by 17<sup>th</sup> February. Assuming that the lens is  
 299 an oblate spheroid that grows at a constant rate until it has a principal axis of 35 km  
 300 and a thickness of 700 m, its volume increases by  $\sim 150$  km $^3$  each day during the growth  
 301 phase. Additional images from transects 5–8, which temporally interleave with transects

1–4 but are spatially offset northwestward by  $\sim 14$  km, corroborate the cycle of growth and decay (Figs. 9e and Supplementary Materials). During this cycle, the lens migrates southwestward with the advecting front (Fig. 9f). We note in passing that additional transects acquired between 22<sup>nd</sup> and 28<sup>th</sup> April show a second large lens that grows and decays on a similar timescale.

It is straightforward to discount two alternative explanations for these time-lapse observations. First, an unchanging lens could have translated across the survey in a direction that is parallel to the southeastward flowing frontal jet. In this case, areal change will arise because an identical lens is intersected at different times by different profiles. Fig. 8g shows that calculated geostrophic current perpendicular to the front is consistent with a southeastward jet of up to  $0.8 \text{ m s}^{-1}$  that is focused on the less dense side of the front and decreases with depth. If the lens is embedded within this geostrophic flow, it will advect at  $\sim 0.1 \text{ m s}^{-1}$  and translate by  $>60$  km in a 7 day period. If the lens has a diameter of  $\sim 20$  km, it will translate across the survey box within  $\sim 2$  days, which demonstrates that this explanation is implausible. Secondly, two separate lenses that migrate southwestward with the advecting front can be invoked. These lenses have diameters that are less than 14 km across (i.e. the orthogonal distance between transects 1–4 and 5–8). If each lens is assumed to be an oblate spheroid where any one transect represents a slice parallel to the semi-major axis, the required geometric planforms are fluid dynamically implausible. We conclude that the scheme presented in Fig. 10b represents a parsimonious history of growth and decay that honors observations from all eight transects. For simplicity, we have assumed that the center of the lens lies between transects 1–4 and 5–8 but it is important to emphasize that more complicated trajectories yield similar cycles of growth and decay.

### 4.3 Mesoscale and Sub-Mesoscale Features

There is a striking and consistent contrast between patterns of reflectivity that develop on each side of the front (Fig. 3). On all eight transects, BC water is characterized by a triangular wedge of flat reflections that sometimes form discrete and continuous bands (Supplementary Materials). In contrast, the reflectivity of MC water has numerous complex swirling features that include lenses and bands. Time-lapse seismic imaging provides a unique opportunity to track the spatial and temporal evolution of these features and to describe their relationship with the migrating front and with the large tilted lens. Here, a preliminary examination of a time-lapse sequence of cross-sections taken from transects 1–4 is carried out (Fig. 9).

On Section 1, at a depth of 1450 m and at a range of 15 km, a circular band of reflectivity is observed. This  $\sim 50$  m thick band wraps around an acoustically blank core that is  $\sim 9$  km long and 500 m thick (Fig. 9a,e). On Section 2, a similar band of reflections occurs at a depth of 1450 m and at a greater range of 18 km (Fig. 9b,f). Two days later, this band is seen on Section 3 where it is now tilted and stretched toward the northeast (Fig. 9c). On Section 4, the upper portion of this band of reflectivity is now centered at a depth of 1350 m and at a range of 35 km (Fig. 9d,h). We interpret this evolving pattern of reflectivity as a single thermohaline structure that is simultaneously deformed and translated toward the northeast (Fig. 9g–i). Northeastward advection of the center of this band is of  $O(0.01) \text{ m s}^{-1}$  between 8<sup>th</sup> and 12<sup>th</sup> April. This rate increases by an order of magnitude between 12<sup>th</sup> and 14<sup>th</sup> April (Fig. 9f–g). These estimates do not consider out-of-plane motion but it is significant that translation of this feature is in the opposite direction to advection of the main front. On the same profiles, elongate continuous reflections, which originally lie at a depth of 1300–1800 m and at a range of 25–60 km on Fig. 9a, deform and stretch into the filament-like entities visible on Fig. 9c and d. Note that translation of these different features coincides with growth of the large tilted lens (Fig. 9a–d).



## 5 Discussion

We present time-lapse imagery from a 3D seismic reflection survey that straddles the Brazil-Malvinas Confluence. This survey has been calibrated by hydrographic and satellite observations. The availability of time-lapse imagery significantly advances our ability to observe and analyze the structure and evolution of thermohaline fronts whose fluid dynamics are poorly understood. The implications of our results can be divided into two parts. First, the detailed surficial structure of the clearly observed front is examined. Secondly, the transient behavior of the large tilted lens that sits against the front at depth is considered.

### 5.1 Near-Surface Frontal Structures

A sharp front that separates BC and MC water masses and intensifies horizontal gradients of temperature and salinity can be continuously traced from the sea surface to depths of 1500–2000 m (Figs. 1 and 3). Its dramatic continuity through time and space demonstrates that sharp fronts are not necessarily surficial features confined to a thermocline layer of several hundred meters thickness. Furthermore, the BC and MC water masses separated by this front have distinctive reflectivity patterns from the sea surface to abyssal depths.

Within 500 m of the sea surface, this major front splits into a series of discrete bright reflective strands that define small tilted lenses with acoustically blank interiors. Time-lapse imagery reveal that these lenses have diameters of  $\sim 10$  km and thicknesses of 200–400 m. Their perimeters are characterized by large amplitude internal waves. They are probably intra-thermocline eddies since they closely resemble homogeneous tongues of weak stratification that occur between pairs of sloping isopycnal surfaces within the thermocline (Pollard & Regier, 1992). Voorhis and Bruce (1982) and Pollard and Regier (1992) carried out high resolution hydrographic surveys which were used to describe shallow-intensified eddies that strain the surface temperature field into elongated tongues of alternately cold and warm water. Such frontogenic features can be generated by eddy surface shear or by extracting potential energy from the mixed layer (Pollard & Regier, 1992). They are affected by air-sea interactions on time scales of weeks.

Spall, Michael (1995) describes a frontogenetic model whereby naturally induced vertical variation of the along-front velocity generates shear instabilities (Fig. 8g). If stratification is weak, parcels of low potential vorticity (i.e. homogeneous bolus of mixed water) tend to subduct beneath the front. Analytical and numerical models that include these mechanisms produce anticyclonic eddies at depths of  $\leq 100$  m with dimensions that are consistent with observed radii (i.e.  $L_R \approx 1$  km). These eddies are long-lived and can transport anomalous water properties thousands of kilometers away from their site of formation (D’Asaro, 1988; Spall, Michael, 1995; L. Thomas & Ferrari, 2008). In contrast, L. N. Thomas and Shakespeare (2015) develop an analytical model which shows that frontogenesis and cabbeling can cause mode water formation at confluent fronts, provided that the front is density compensated. Sub-surface anticyclones with dimensions of  $O(10)$  km are generated at the depth of maximum cross-front temperature. Cross-front temperature gradients are greatest close to the surface (Fig. 4).

We conclude that the small tilted lenses imaged on all eight transects are generated by near-surface frontogenic processes. Surface-trapped eddies can play a significant role in the transport of properties between the thermocline and the mixed layer. Voorhis and Bruce (1982) reported vertical and cross-front velocities of  $30\text{--}50$  m  $\text{day}^{-1}$  and  $3\text{--}5$  km  $\text{day}^{-1}$ , respectively. Their presence throughout the seismic volume suggests that they are ubiquitous in the vicinity of the Brazil-Malvinas Confluence and play a key role in water-mass modification close to the surface.

## 5.2 A Deep Transient Lens

We observe a large tilted lens that is embedded within the front which it appears to deform. This lens grows and decays over a nine day period. Its size, depth and transience are inconsistent either with the characteristics of a typical intra-thermocline eddy or with typical near-surface frontogenetic processes. By combining our time-lapse seismic observations with near-coeval hydrographic measurements and with fluid dynamical considerations, limited inferences can be made which shed some light on the possible mechanism of formation of this unusual transient structure.

### 5.2.1 Mechanisms of Growth

The lens consists of cool ( $3.3\pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ ) and fresh ( $34.3\pm 0.5$  psu) water, which implies that it is sourced from the southwestern side of the front (i.e. from modified MC water; Figs. 8b,d). Although the lens attains mesoscale dimensions, we regard it as non-geostrophic (i.e. ageostrophic). On the cold fresh side of the front, numerous irregular and elongate reflective features can be traced from the southernmost edge of each transect toward the base of the large lens (Fig. 3b). Time-lapse imagery demonstrates that these features are being rapidly and horizontally advected toward the front (Fig. 9a–d). They have similar dimensions to axisymmetric filaments that have widths of  $<10$  km and lengths of hundreds of kilometers (Lapeyre & Klein, 2006; McWilliams, 1984; Rudnick & Ferrari, 1999; Smith & Ferrari, 2009). Such filaments are characterized by weak density signatures and so can be generated by isopycnal stirring induced by rotation of an eddy (Smith & Ferrari, 2009). Observed straining and shearing of reflective filaments may provide the advective mechanism by which cold fresh water is drawn into the large deep lens (Fig. 9).

Horizontal translation is probably accompanied by a component of vertical flux that could be facilitated by ageostrophic circulation, by isopycnal tilting, or by injection of energy. At the Brazil-Malvinas Confluence, isopycnal surfaces beneath 700 m have slopes that are close to zero, which suggests that vertical motions overcome the effects of density through ageostrophic circulation or energy injection. Ageostrophic circulation generates significant,  $O(10)$  m day $^{-1}$ , vertical velocities that are induced at a front to restore geostrophic balance (Hoskins & Bretherton, 1972). For the distribution of potential density and geostrophic vorticity shown in Fig. 8, ageostrophic velocities are generated that transport cold water to the northeast (see Fig. 12 of Pollard & Regier, 1992). A closed cell of effectively horizontal vorticity is induced such that deep water is uplifted at the northeastern edge of the circulation cell (Hoskins & Bretherton, 1972).

Propagating, near-inertial waves can also be trapped against, amplified by, and aligned with tilted isopycnal surfaces (Whitt & Thomas, 2013). These waves can be generated by wind events, which can inject significant energy at depth. They also interact with frontal density gradients in the presence of strong baroclinic shear, following some form of energy injection (e.g. wind-forcing, instabilities; Kunze, 1986; L. N. Thomas, 2017). It is straightforward to test the strength of baroclinicity (Whitt & Thomas, 2013). Strongly baroclinic flows are defined as ones with a gradient Richardson number,  $Ri_g = N^2/|\partial u/\partial z|^2$ , of  $O(1)$ . Given  $N \approx 1 \times 10^{-3}$  s $^{-1}$  and  $|\partial u/\partial z| \approx 1 \times 10^{-4}$  s $^{-1}$  (i.e. 0.6 m s $^{-1}$ /1200 m), we obtain  $Ri_g = 100$  which implies that the front is weakly sheared (Fig. 6h). Analysis of mean wind stress during February 2013 confirms that no significant energy injection took place during acquisition of the seismic survey (Fig. 4i). Although teleconnections may exist between sea-surface temperature of the South Atlantic Ocean and the El Niño phenomenon through the Antarctic Circumpolar Wave such that that distal energy injection is a possibility, the combination of a high value of  $Ri_g$  and the lack of an obvious energy injection mechanism suggests that near-inertial waves did not generate the large tilted lens. Nevertheless, we suspect that interaction of internal gravity waves along frontal density gradients is a possible candidate for generating the features that we observe. For example, Shakespeare and Taylor (2014) report that inertia-gravity waves

453 can be spontaneously generated at confluent fronts since time-varying strain produces  
454 finite amplitude waves that are strongly localized in time and space.

455 Finally, vertical shear generated by the frontal jet injects kinetic energy which can  
456 be converted into potential energy. The kinetic energy density is given by  $\rho(\Delta u)^2/2$ , where  
457  $\Delta u$  is the difference in current speed across the front. The potential energy density re-  
458 quired to lift a parcel of water through a vertical distance,  $\Delta h$ , is given by  $\Delta\rho g\Delta h$ , where  
459  $\rho$  and  $g$  are potential density and gravitational acceleration, respectively. The maximum  
460 value of  $\Delta h$  is given by

$$\Delta h \approx \frac{(\Delta u)^2}{2g'} \quad (2)$$

461 where  $g' = g\Delta\rho/\bar{\rho}$ . The value of  $\Delta h$  can be gauged from distribution of  $\rho$  and  $u$  cal-  
462 culated from seismic images (Fig. 8). At a depth of 750 m,  $\Delta\rho$  is  $O(0.01)$  kg m<sup>-3</sup> and  
463  $(\Delta u)^2$  is  $O(0.1)$  m s<sup>-2</sup>, yielding  $\Delta h \sim O(170)$  m. At a depth of 300 m,  $\Delta\rho$  is  $O(0.1)$  kg m<sup>-3</sup>  
464 and  $(\Delta u)^2$  is  $O(0.01)$  m s<sup>-2</sup>, yielding  $\Delta h \approx 0$  m.

465 These estimates suggest that vertical fluxes of  $O(100)$  m can exist at depths of 750 m,  
466 which are consistent with vertical separation between the core of the large tilted lens at  
467 750 m and elongated filaments at  $>1000$  m. We conclude that these filaments provide  
468 the mechanism by which cold water feeds the lens. It is unlikely that the lens forms by  
469 subduction of surface water or by injection of energy close to the surface, although spon-  
470 taneous generation of internal gravity waves by frontogenesis could trigger this instabil-  
471 ity. Instead, we suggest that our time-lapse imagery has captured ageostrophic circula-  
472 tion. These arguments imply that there is a coupling between horizontal translation and  
473 vertical mixing. Our observations and fluid dynamical inferences are consistent with nu-  
474 merical experiments that predict lateral stirring of temperature and salinity by eddies,  
475 which is accompanied by vertical advection through ageostrophic velocity (e.g. Smith  
476 & Ferrari, 2009).

### 477 **5.2.2 Mechanisms of Decay**

478 The large tilted lens decays rapidly over  $O(3)$  days. This estimate can be contrasted  
479 with the time taken for frictional spin-down,  $\tau$ , which is given by

$$\tau = \frac{h}{\sqrt{2K|f|}}, \quad (3)$$

480 where  $h \sim 300$  m is the vertical scale of motion,  $f \sim 2 \times 10^{-4}$  s<sup>-1</sup> is the Coriolis fre-  
481 quency, and  $K = 10^{-4}$  m<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> is diapycnal diffusivity (Munk, 1966; Pedlosky, 1987).  
482 The value of  $K$  at oceanic fronts can be as great as  $10^{-3}$  m<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> (D'Asaro et al., 2011).  
483 Equation 3 yields  $\tau \approx 5$ –17 days. This discrepancy supports our inference that the large  
484 tilted lens is not associated with surface processes since frictional spin-down is proba-  
485 bly not a viable mechanism.

486 Hua et al. (2013) show that concentric layering can be an effective mechanism of  
487 energy dissipation. Unfortunately, it typically takes  $\sim 8$  months for 20% of the energy  
488 to dissipate. We note also that intra-thermocline eddies can last for several years despite  
489 being adjacent to frictional boundary layers (e.g. Armi et al., 1989). We conclude that  
490 the rapid rate of decay of the lens is inconsistent with estimates of frictional spin-down  
491 and with simulations of frontogenetically induced eddies. Instead, its short lifespan prob-  
492 ably reflects its ageostrophic nature. A combination of translation and decay suggests  
493 that it is continuously shedding water on its poleward journey with implications for flux  
494 estimates of heat, salt and nutrients (McWilliams, 1984; Smith & Ferrari, 2009).

## 6 Conclusions

The scale and complexity of major oceanic fronts presents significant logistic challenges for dynamical interrogation on an appropriate range of spatial and temporal scales. Seismic reflection surveying has a hitherto unsurpassed ability to resolve thermohaline structures on spatial scales of tens of meters to hundreds of kilometers and on temporal scales of minutes to days. In combination with simultaneous hydrographic observations, this ability has the potential to transform our understanding of frontogenesis. Here, we have described a suite of calibrated time-lapse images that enables acoustic reflectivity to be interpreted from a physical oceanographic perspective. Eight seismic transects reveal a deeply penetrating front, intrathermocline eddies, and a large deep transient lens that appears to entrain rapidly deforming filaments. The existence, depth and longevity of this lens are inconsistent with numerical and analytical simulations of near-surface frontogenesis. Evidence for isopycnal stirring on respective horizontal and vertical length scales of  $>50$  km and  $O(100)$  m has significant implications for flux estimates of heat, salt and nutrients. These dramatic images reveal stirring at 1–100 km scales with a simultaneous resolution of  $O(10)$  m. Perhaps our most significant finding is the depth scale at which these processes occur, implying that frontogenic forcing affects the entire water column. In the future, combined hydrographic and seismic reflection surveying should provide new and important insights.

## Acknowledgments

K.L.G. was supported by University of Cambridge. Research activity of C.P.C was supported by EPSRC Programme Grant EP/K034529/1. We are grateful to Administración Nacional de Combustibles, Alcoholes y Portland and to Shell Global for providing seismic field tapes. Access to these tapes and near-coeval hydrographic measurements should be directed to these organisations. Seismic processing was carried out using *Omega2* software package provided by Schlumberger Research Services. Hydrographic measurements were analyzed using Python implementation of GSW TEOS-10 equation of state for seawater ([github.com/TEOS-10/GSW-Python](https://github.com/TEOS-10/GSW-Python)). Ocean Surface Current Analysis Real-time (OSCAR), Multi-scale Ultra-high Resolution (MUR) SST Analysis and Metop-A ASCAT datasets were extracted from ERDDAP (<https://coastwatch.pfeg.noaa.gov/erddap>). Figures were prepared using Generic Mapping Tools ([gmt.soest.hawaii.edu](https://gmt.soest.hawaii.edu)). We are grateful to A. Dickinson, D. Bright, I. Frame, C. Jones, D. Lyness, J. Selvage, K. Sheen, S. Stephenson and A. Woods for their help. Cambridge Earth Sciences contribution number esc.4755.

**Table 1.** Seismic acquisition information (see also Figs. 1a and 2).


Label	Length, km	dd/mm/yy	Julian Day	Azimuth
1	148	08/02/13	39	NE-SW
2	149	11/02/13	42	NE-SW
3	150	12/02/13	43	NE-SW
4	150	14/02/13	45	NE-SW
5	142	11/02/13	42	SW-NE
6	142	13/02/13	44	SW-NE
7	141	15/02/13	46	SW-NE
8	140	17/02/13	47	SW-NE

**Table 2.** Near-coeval hydrographic casts whose locations are shown in Figs. 1a, 2 and 5.

Name	dd/mm/yy	Latitude, °S	Longitude, °W
T1	15/11/12	53.36	36.86
T2	28/11/12	53.08	36.43
T3	4/12/12	53.40	36.72
T4	12/12/12	53.02	36.43
T5	20/12/12	53.32	36.60
T6	29/12/12	52.97	36.36
T7	9/1/13	53.04	36.66


**Table 3.** Position and dimensions of large lens. Quoted ranges and depths refer to length of lens projected to surface and its thickness (see Figs. S1 and S2). Note that length and thickness are estimated along major and minor axes of lens which is typically banked against dipping front. Cross-sectional area calculated assuming that lens can be represented by ellipse.

Label	Range, km	Depth, m	Length, km	Thickness, m	Area, km <sup>2</sup>
1	–	–	–	–	–
2	92–105	600–950	11	250	2.2
3	72–88	550–890	16	290	3.6
4	41–69	500–1200	27	650	13.8
5	67–88	450–1000	22	400	6.9
6	44–75	450–1400	34	750	20.0
7	26–47	600–1100	20	450	4.6
8	–	–	–	–	–




**Figure 1.** (a) Map of sea-surface temperature for southwest Atlantic Ocean showing confluence of water masses. Red/blue colors = warm/cold water masses calculated for 13<sup>th</sup> February 2013 from Multi-scale Ultra-high Resolution Sea Surface Temperature (MUR-SST) satellite measurements (scale at top left-hand side); field of thin black arrows = average sea-surface geostrophic current velocities calculated for five day composite centered on 15<sup>th</sup> February 2013 from Ocean Surface Current Analyses Real-time (OSCAR) satellite measurements (scale at top left-hand side); labeled arrows = Brazil Current (BC) and Malvinas (i.e. Falkland) Current (MC); transparent polygon = location of 3D seismic reflection survey; thick black line within polygon = locus of 8 transects displayed in Figs. 3, S1 and S2 (see also Table 1); white circles = loci of 7 near-coeval hydrographic casts (Table 2); pair of black circles = hydrographic casts used to calculate velocity profile shown in Fig. 8h. (b) Temperature-salinity diagram based upon 8 hydrographic casts located in panel (a) and interpreted in accordance with Piola and Matano (2017). Orange dots = principally Brazil Current but includes South Atlantic Central Water (SACW); pale blue dots = principally Malvinas Current but includes Antarctic Surface Water (AASW), Antarctic Intermediate Water (AAIW), and Upper Circumpolar Deep Water (UCDW); dark blue dots = North Atlantic Deep Water (NADW); gray dots = other water masses; labeled dotted/solid lines = contours of potential density/acoustic sound speed.







**Figure 2.** (a) Bathymetric map of southwest Atlantic Ocean. Transparent polygon = location of 3D seismic reflection survey; thick black line within polygon = locus of 8 transects described in text and displayed in Figs. S1 and S2 (see also Table 1); white circles = loci of 7 near-coeval hydrographic casts (Table 2); pair of black circles = hydrographic casts used to calculate geostrophic velocity profile shown in Fig. 8g. (b) Detailed portion of bathymetric map shown in (a). Thick colored lines = seismic reflection lines 1–8 colored by Julian day of acquisition; numbered white circles = acquired lines; white/black circles = near-coeval hydrographic casts as in (a). (c) Diagrammatic map showing configuration of racetrack acquisition for Sections 1–8 of 3D seismic reflection survey. Black dashed lines = vessel tracks; thick colored lines = seismic reflection lines colored by Julian day of acquisition; thick black line = 20 km scale.



**Figure 3.** (a) Representative seismic section that crosses major oceanic front (Fig. S2a). Red/blue stripes = positive/negative reflections that are generated by temperature changes as small as  $\sim 0.01$  °C within water column. Black triangles = loci of velocity analyses (Fig. 4). (b) Generalized interpretation that emphasizes principal features. Orange shading = Brazil Current (BC); blue shading = Malvinas Current (MC); dark blue shading = putative dense layer of North Atlantic Deep Water (NADW); black arrows = large-scale flow; yellow dipping zone labeled F = discrete oceanic front dipping at  $< 2^\circ$  down to depth of  $> 1600$  m; tilted white blobs labeled E and e = lens-shaped features of  $O(10)$  km defined by reflections; white circles = centers of acoustically blank features of  $O(1-10)$  km; black circles = tracking of elongated reflections; black box = portion of section from Fig. 8.




**Figure 4.** (a) Map of sea-surface temperature for southwest Atlantic Ocean showing confluence of water masses on day that Section 1 was acquired (date listed at bottom right-hand side). Red/blue colors = warm/cold water masses calculated for 8<sup>th</sup> February 2013 from MUR-SST satellite measurements. Black polygon = location of 3D seismic reflection survey; thick black line within polygon = locus of Sections 1–8 described in text; thin black lines = sea-surface temperature contoured every 2°C; thick black line = 24°C contour. (b)–(h) Same for days that correspond to acquisition of Sections 2, 5, 3, 6, 4, 7 and 8, respectively (see Fig. 2). Note date at lower right-hand corner. (i) Average wind stress as function of day for region shown in other panels. Black/white circles = zonal/meridional values of wind stress; solid/dashed black line = monthly average for February 2013 of zonal/meridional wind stress; black arrows = acquisition times of seismic sections shown in panels a–h. Wind measurements are from Metop-A ASCAT satellite (Verspeek et al., 2010).




**Figure 5.** Map of sea-surface temperature for southwest Atlantic Ocean showing confluence of water masses on day that hydrographic probe T1 was acquired. Red/blue colors = warm/cold water masses calculated for 15<sup>th</sup> November 2012 from MUR-SST satellite measurements. Black polygon = location of 3D seismic reflection survey; white circle = location of T1 hydrographic probe; thin black lines = sea-surface temperature contoured every 2°C; thick black line = 24°C contour. (b)–(h) Same for days that correspond to acquisition of hydrographic probes T2–7 (see Fig. 1a for scale). Note date at lower right-hand corner.






**Figure 6.** Sound speed analysis of CMP gathers from Profile 5. (a) Uncorrected CMP gather at range of 10 km plotted as function of offset distance between source and receiver and depth. (b) Contoured values of semblance as function of offset distance and depth that show root mean square sound speed,  $v_{rms}$ . Warm colors = optimal values of  $v_{rms}$  that yield correct time delays; white circles = chosen  $v_{rms}$  picks. (c) Under-corrected CMP gather where selected  $v_{rms}$  values are too slow (i.e.  $1450 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ ). Line with open circle = under-corrected reflection. (d) Optimally corrected CMP gather using  $v_{rms}$  picks shown in panel (b). Lines with solid circle = optimally corrected reflection. (e) Over-corrected CMP gather where selected  $v_{rms}$  values are too fast (i.e.  $1550 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ ). Line with open circle = over-corrected reflection. (f)–(j) Equivalent panels for CMP gather at range of 96 km.




**Figure 7.** (a) Root mean square sound speed,  $v_{rms}$ , as function of range for Section 5 (Fig. S2a). White circles = loci of sound speed profiles that were picked every 1.25 km; black triangles = loci of CMP gathers displayed in Fig. 6. (b) Interval sound speed,  $v_{int}$ , as function of range calculated from  $v_{rms}$  using Dix equation (i.e. long wavelength component of sound speed). Sound speed is vertically and horizontally smoothed using sliding windows of  $\sim 250$  m and 12.5 km, respectively.






**Figure 8.** (a) Seismic section overlain with temperature field calculated using iterative inversion procedure (Gunn et al., 2018). Pink area = large lens; white circle = geometric center of large lens. (b) Temperature,  $T$ , as function of depth. Blue/red lines = horizontally averaged profiles for ranges of 0–60 km and 60–140 km, respectively; turquoise/orange dots = hydrographic measurements from cold/warm (i.e. MC/BC) sides of front (Fig. 5); gray dots = hydrographic measurements from intermediate zone (i.e. modified MC; Fig. 5); white circle with horizontal error bar = mean temperature of lens outlined in pink on panel a and its standard deviation. (c) Same section overlain with salinity field. Colored inverted triangles = orthogonally projected positions of 7 hydrographic casts where turquoise symbols = Malvinas Current, orange symbols = Brazil Current, and gray symbols = intermediate water (Fig. 1a; Piola & Matano, 2017). (d) Salinity,  $S$ , as function of depth with colored lines and symbols as before. (e) Same section overlain with potential density field. Solid lines = contours of isopycnal surfaces with values of  $\sigma_\theta$  plotted at  $0.2 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$  intervals; dashed lines = contours of geostrophic stream function,  $\psi$ , plotted at  $0.2 \times 10^4 \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-2}$  intervals. (f) Potential density,  $\sigma_\theta$ , as function of depth with colored lines and symbols as before. (g) Same section overlain with geostrophic velocity field where warm (cool) colors denote translation out of (into) page. Dotted lines = contours of relative vorticity,  $\zeta$ , plotted at  $5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$  intervals; black inverted triangles = loci used to calculate profile of  $u$  on panel h. (h) Geostrophic velocity,  $u$ , as function of depth. Solid line = profile of  $u$  calculated for two nearby hydrographic profiles projected orthogonally by 8 and 16 km onto seismic section at ranges of 25.5 and 82.7 km (Fig. 1a); dotted line = profile of  $u$  calculated between black circles located at ranges of 25.5 and 82.7 km on section from panel g; white circle with horizontal error bar = mean geostrophic velocity of eddy and its standard deviation; dashed vertical line = zero value.



**Figure 9.** Series of time-lapse images from seismic reflection Sections 1–4 from Fig. S1 that show evolving structure adjacent to front. (a) Image from Section 1 (8/2/2013, Julian day 39). Circles = interpretation markers colored according to day of acquisition that highlight three features; arrows = locus of front; numbered colored square = Julian day (see Table 1 and Fig. 2). (b) Image from Section 2 (11/2/2013, Julian day 42). Symbols as before. (c) Image from Section 3 (12/2/2013, Julian day 43). (d) Image from Section 4 (14/2/2013, Julian day 45). (e) Interpretation of Section 1 shown in panel (a). Dark blue blobs and lines = interpretation of lenses and strands (N.B. no clear front visible in Section 1). Interpretation markers colored according to day of acquisition as shown in key. (f) Combined interpretation of Sections 1 and 2 that highlights temporal evolution of four principal features. Dark/light blue blobs and lines = lenses, strands and fronts at earlier/later times; black arrow = in-plane speed. (g) Same for Sections 2 and 3. (h) Same for Sections 3 and 4.



**Figure 10.** Planform evolution of large tilted lens. (a) Map showing locations of eight sections (Figs. S1 and S2). Thick and thin colored lines = Sections 1-4 and Sections 5-8 colored by Julian day of acquisition; white circles = loci of frontal interface projected to sea surface; white bars = horizontal length of putative lens projected to sea surface. (b) Series of planforms of idealized circular lens showing evolution in accordance with geometric constraints from panel e (Table 3). Colored circles = size of lens according to Julian day; arrow = azimuth of translation. Note that panels are vertically collinear.



## References

- Armi, L., Hebert, D., Oakey, N., Price, J. F., Richardson, P. L., Rossby, H. T., & Ruddick, B. (1989). Two Years in the Life of a Mediterranean Salt Lens. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, *19*(3), 354–370. doi: 10.1175/1520-0485(1989)019
- Bianchi, A. A., Giulivi, C. F., & Piola, A. R. (1993). Mixing in the Brazil-Malvinas confluence. *Deep-Sea Research Part I*, *40*(7), 1345–1358. doi: 10.1016/0967-0637(93)90115-J
- Cromwell, T., & Reid, J. L. (1956). A Study of Oceanic Fronts. *Tellus*, *8*(1), 94–101. doi: 10.3402/tellusa.v8i1.8947
- Dagnino, D., Sallarès, V., Biescas, B., & Ranero, C. R. (2016). Fine-scale thermohaline ocean structure retrieved with 2-D prestack full-waveform inversion of multichannel seismic data: Application to the Gulf of Cadiz (SW Iberia). *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, *121*, 5452–5469. doi: 10.1002/2016JC011844
- D’Asaro, E. (1988). Generation of submesoscale vortices: A new mechanism. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *93*(C6), 6685. doi: 10.1029/JC093iC06p06685
- D’Asaro, E., Lee, C., Rainville, L., Harcourt, R., & Thomas, L. (2011). Enhanced turbulence and energy dissipation at ocean fronts. *Science*, *6027*, 318–322. doi: 10.1126/science.1201515
- Dix, C. H. (1955). Seismic Velocities From Surface Measurements. *Geophysics*, *20*(1), 68–86. doi: 10.1190/1.1438126
- Ferrari, R. (2011). A frontal challenge for climate models. *Science*, *332*(6027), 316–317. doi: 10.1126/science.1203632
- Garzoli, S. L., & Garraffo, Z. (1989). Transports, frontal motions and eddies at the Brazil-Malvinas currents confluence. *Deep Sea Research Part A, Oceanographic Research Papers*, *36*(5), 681–703. doi: 10.1016/0198-0149(89)90145-3
- Gordon, A. L. (1989). Brazil-Malvinas Confluence - 1984. *Deep-Sea Research*, *36*(3), 359–384.
- Gunn, K. L., White, N. J., Larter, R. D., & Caulfield, C. P. (2018). Calibrated Seismic Imaging of Eddy-Dominated Warm-Water Transport Across the Bellinghausen Sea, Southern Ocean. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, *123*, 3072–3099. doi: 10.1029/2018JC013833
- Holbrook, W. S., Páramo, P., Pearse, S., & Schmitt, R. W. (2003). Thermohaline fine structure in an oceanographic front from seismic reflection profiling. *Science*, *301*(5634), 821–824. doi: 10.1126/science.1085116
- Hoskins, B. J., & Bretherton, F. P. (1972). Atmospheric Frontogenesis Models: Mathematical Formulation and Solution. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, *29*(1), 11–37. doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1972)029<0011:AFMMFA>2.0.CO;2
- Hua, B. L., Ménesguen, C., Le Gentil, S., Schopp, R., Marsset, B., & Aiki, H. (2013). Layering and turbulence surrounding an anticyclonic oceanic vortex: In situ observations and quasi-geostrophic numerical simulations. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, *731*, 418–442. doi: 10.1017/jfm.2013.369
- Johnston, T. M., Rudnick, D. L., & Pallàs-Sanz, E. (2011). Elevated mixing at a front. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, *116*(11), 1–14. doi: 10.1029/2011JC007192
- Kunze, E. (1986). The Mean and Near-Inertial Velocity Fields in a Warm-Core Ring. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, *16*, 1444–1461. doi: 10.1175/1520-0485(1986)016<1444:tmaniv>2.0.co;2
- Lapeyre, G., & Klein, P. (2006). Impact of the small-scale elongated filaments on the oceanic vertical pump. *Journal of Marine Research*, *64*(6), 835–851. doi: 10.1357/002224006779698369
- McWilliams, J. C. (1984). The emergence of isolated coherent vortices in turbulent flow. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, *146*, 21–43. doi: 10.1017/S0022112084001750

- 584 Meunier, T., Ménesguen, C., Schopp, R., & Le Gentil, S. (2015). Tracer Stirring  
585 around a Meddy: The Formation of Layering. *Journal of Physical Oceanogra-*  
586 *phy*, *45*, 407–423. doi: 10.1175/JPO-D-14-0061.1
- 587 Munk, W. H. (1966). Abyssal recipes. *Deep-Sea Research*, *13*(4), 707–730.
- 588 Nagai, T., Tandon, A., Yamazaki, H., Doubell, M. J., & Gallager, S. (2012). Di-  
589 rect observations of microscale turbulence and thermohaline structure in the  
590 Kuroshio Front. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, *117*(8). doi:  
591 10.1029/2011JC007228
- 592 Pallàs-Sanz, E., Johnston, T. M., & Rudnick, D. L. (2010). Frontal dynamics in  
593 a California Current System shallow front: 1. Frontal processes and tracer  
594 structure. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, *115*(12), 1–14. doi:  
595 10.1029/2009JC006032
- 596 Papenberg, C., Klaeschen, D., Krahmman, G., & Hobbs, R. W. (2010). Ocean tem-  
597 perature and salinity inverted from combined hydrographic and seismic data.  
598 *Geophysical Research Letters*, *37*(4), 6–11. doi: 10.1029/2009GL042115
- 599 Pedlosky, J. (1987). *Geophysical Fluid Dynamics* (2nd ed.). New York: Springer.  
600 doi: 10.1007/978-1-4612-4650-3
- 601 Peterson, R. G., & Stramma, L. (1991). Upper-level circulation in the South At-  
602 lantic Ocean. *Progress in Oceanography*, *26*(1), 1–73.
- 603 Pezzi, L. P., Souza, R. B., Dourado, M. S., Garcia, C. A. E., Mata, M. M., & Silva-  
604 Dias, M. A. F. (2005). Ocean-atmosphere in situ observations at the Brazil-  
605 Malvinas Confluence region. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *32*(22), 1–4. doi:  
606 10.1029/2005GL023866
- 607 Piola, A. R., & Matano, R. P. (2017). *Ocean Currents: Atlantic Western Bound-*  
608 *ary—Brazil Current/Falkland (Malvinas) Current*. Elsevier. doi: 10.1016/B978  
609 -0-12-409548-9.10541-X
- 610 Pollard, R. T., & Regier, L. A. (1992). Vorticity and Vertical Circulation at an  
611 Ocean Front. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, *22*, 609–625. doi: 10.1175/  
612 1520-0485(1992)022(0609:vavcaa)2.0.co;2
- 613 Ruddick, B., Song, H., Dong, C., & Pinheiro, L. (2009). Water column seismic im-  
614 ages as maps of temperature gradient. *Oceanography*, *22*(1), 192–205. doi: 10  
615 .5670/oceanog.2009.19
- 616 Rudnick, D. L., & Ferrari, R. (1999). Compensation of horizontal temperature and  
617 salinity gradients in the ocean mixed layer. *Science*, *283*(5401), 526–529. doi:  
618 10.1126/science.283.5401.526
- 619 Sallarès, V., Biescas, B., Buffett, G., Carbonell, R., Dañobeitia, J. J., & Pelegrí,  
620 J. L. (2009). Relative contribution of temperature and salinity to ocean  
621 acoustic reflectivity. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *36*(20), 1–6. doi:  
622 10.1029/2009GL040187
- 623 Saraceno, M., Provost, C., Piola, A. R., Bava, J., & Gagliardini, A. (2004). Brazil  
624 Malvinas Frontal System as seen from 9 years of advanced very high resolution  
625 radiometer data. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, *109*(C5), 1–14.  
626 doi: 10.1029/2003JC002127
- 627 Shakespeare, C. J., & Taylor, J. R. (2014). The spontaneous generation of inertia-  
628 gravity waves during frontogenesis forced by large strain: Theory. *Journal of*  
629 *Fluid Mechanics*, *757*, 817–853. doi: 10.1017/jfm.2014.514
- 630 Sheen, K. L., White, N. J., Caulfield, C. P., & Hobbs, R. W. (2012). Seismic imag-  
631 ing of a large horizontal vortex at abyssal depths beneath the Sub-Antarctic  
632 Front. *Nature Geoscience*, *5*(8), 542–546. doi: 10.1038/ngeo1502
- 633 Sheen, K. L., White, N. J., & Hobbs, R. W. (2009). Estimating mixing rates from  
634 seismic images of oceanic structure. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *36*(24), 1–5.  
635 doi: 10.1029/2009GL040106
- 636 Smith, K. S., & Ferrari, R. (2009). The Production and Dissipation of Compensated  
637 Thermohaline Variance by Mesoscale Stirring. *Journal of Physical Oceanogra-*  
638 *phy*, *39*, 2477–2501. doi: 10.1175/2009JPO4103.1

- 639 Spall, Michael, A. (1995). Frontogenesis, subduction, and cross-front exchange at  
640 upper ocean fronts. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *100*(C2), 2543. doi: 10  
641 .1029/94JC02860
- 642 Taylor, J. R., & Ferrari, R. (2011). Ocean fronts trigger high latitude phytoplankton  
643 blooms. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *38*(23). doi: 10.1029/2011GL049312
- 644 Testor, P., DeYoung, B., Rudnick, D. L., Glenn, S., Hayes, D., Lee, C., . . . Wilson,  
645 D. (2019). OceanGliders: A component of the integrated GOOS. *Frontiers in*  
646 *Marine Science*, *6*(422). doi: 10.3389/fmars.2019.00422
- 647 Thomas, L., & Ferrari, R. (2008). Friction, Frontogenesis, and the Stratification  
648 of the Surface Mixed Layer. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, *38*(11), 2501–  
649 2518. doi: 10.1175/2008JPO3797.1
- 650 Thomas, L. N. (2017). On the modifications of near-inertial waves at fronts: impli-  
651 cations for energy transfer across scales. *Ocean Dynamics*, *67*, 1335–1350. doi:  
652 10.1007/s10236-017-1088-6
- 653 Thomas, L. N., & Shakespeare, C. J. (2015). A New Mechanism for Mode Wa-  
654 ter Formation involving Cabbelling and Frontogenetic Strain at Thermo-  
655 haline Fronts. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, *45*, 2444–2456. doi:  
656 10.1175/JPO-D-15-0007.1
- 657 Thomas, L. N., Tandon, A., & Mahadevan, A. (2008). Ocean Modeling in an Eddy-  
658 ing Regime. In *Geophysical monograph series 177* (pp. 17–38). American Geo-  
659 physical Union. doi: 10.1029/177GM04
- 660 Tilstone, G. H., Miller, P. I., Brewin, R. J., & Priede, I. G. (2014). Enhancement  
661 of primary production in the North Atlantic outside of the spring bloom, iden-  
662 tified by remote sensing of ocean colour and temperature. *Remote Sensing of*  
663 *Environment*, *146*, 77–86. doi: 10.1016/j.rse.2013.04.021
- 664 Verspeek, J., Stoffelen, A., Portabella, M., Bonekamp, H., Anderson, C., & Saldaña,  
665 J. F. (2010). Validation and calibration of ASCAT using CMOD5.n. *IEEE*  
666 *Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, *48*(1), 386–395. doi:  
667 10.1109/TGRS.2009.2027896
- 668 Voorhis, A. D., & Bruce, J. G. (1982). Small-Scale Surface Stirring and Frontogen-  
669 esis in the Subtropical Convergence of the Western North Atlantic. *Journal of*  
670 *Marine Research*, *40*, 801–821.
- 671 Whitt, D. B., & Thomas, L. N. (2013). Near-Inertial Waves in Strongly Baroclinic  
672 Currents. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, *43*, 706–725. doi: 10.1175/JPO-D  
673 -12-0132.1
- 674 Yilmaz, Ö. (2001). *Seismic Data Analysis: Processing, Inversion, and Interpretation*  
675 *of Seismic Data*. Society of Exploration Geophysicists. doi: [http://dx.doi.org/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1190/1.9781560801580)  
676 [10.1190/1.9781560801580](http://dx.doi.org/10.1190/1.9781560801580)